

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



The congruence subgroup problem for low rank free and free metabelian groups



David El-Chai Ben-Ezra*, Alexander Lubotzky

Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 August 2016 Available online 5 January 2017 Communicated by N. Andruskiewitsch, A. Elduque, E. Khukhro and I. Shestakov

To Efim Zelmanov, a friend and a leader

MSC:

primary 19B37, 20H05 secondary 20E18, 20E36, 20E05

Keywords:

Congruence subgroup problem Profinite groups Automorphism groups Free groups Free metabelian groups

ABSTRACT

The congruence subgroup problem for a finitely generated group Γ asks whether $\widehat{Aut(\Gamma)} \to Aut(\hat{\Gamma})$ is injective, or more generally, what is its kernel $C(\Gamma)$? Here \hat{X} denotes the profinite completion of X.

In this paper we first give two new short proofs of two known results (for $\Gamma = F_2$ and Φ_2) and a new result for $\Gamma = \Phi_3$:

- (1) $C(F_2) = \{e\}$ when F_2 is the free group on two generators.
- (2) $C(\Phi_2) = \hat{F}_{\omega}$ when Φ_n is the free metabelian group on n generators, and \hat{F}_{ω} is the free profinite group on \aleph_0 generators.
- (3) $C(\Phi_3)$ contains \hat{F}_{ω} .

Results (2) and (3) should be contrasted with an upcoming result of the first author showing that $C(\Phi_n)$ is abelian for $n \geq 4$.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: davidel-chai.ben-ezra@mail.huji.ac.il (D.E.-C. Ben-Ezra), alex.lubotzky@mail.huji.ac.il (A. Lubotzky).

^{*} Corresponding author.

1. Introduction

The classical congruence subgroup problem (CSP) asks for, say, $G = SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ or $G = GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, whether every finite index subgroup of G contains a principal congruence subgroup, i.e. a subgroup of the form $G(m) = \ker(G \to GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}))$ for some $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Equivalently, it asks whether the natural map $\hat{G} \to GL_n(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ is injective, where \hat{G} and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ are the profinite completions of the group G and the ring \mathbb{Z} , respectively. More generally, the CSP asks what is the kernel of this map. It is a classical 19th century result that the answer is negative for n = 2. Moreover (but not so classical, cf. [20,15]), the kernel, in this case, is \hat{F}_{ω} – the free profinite group on a countable number of generators. On the other hand, for $n \geq 3$, the map is injective and the kernel is therefore trivial.

The CSP can be generalized as follows: Let Γ be a group and M a finite index characteristic subgroup of it. Denote:

$$G(M) = \ker (Aut(\Gamma) \to Aut(\Gamma/M))$$
.

Such a finite index normal subgroup of $G = Aut(\Gamma)$ will be called a "principal congruence subgroup" and a finite index subgroup of G which contains such a G(M) for some M will be called a "congruence subgroup". Now, the CSP for Γ asks whether every finite index subgroup of G is a congruence subgroup. When Γ is finitely generated, $Aut(\hat{\Gamma})$ is profinite and the CSP is equivalent to the question (cf. [8], §1 and §3): Is the map $\hat{G} = \widehat{Aut(\Gamma)} \to Aut(\hat{\Gamma})$ injective? More generally, it asks what is the kernel $C(\Gamma)$ of this map.

As $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}) = Aut(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, the classical congruence subgroup results mentioned above can therefore be reformulated as $C(A_2) = \hat{F}_{\omega}$ while $C(A_n) = \{e\}$ for $n \geq 3$, when $A_n = \mathbb{Z}^n$ is the free abelian group on n generators.

Very few results are known when Γ is non-abelian. A very surprising result was proved in [2] by Asada by methods of algebraic geometry:

Theorem 1.1. $C(F_2) = \{e\}$, i.e., the free group on two generators has the congruence subgroup property, namely $\widehat{Aut(F_2)} \to Aut(\widehat{F_2})$ is injective.

A purely group theoretic proof for this theorem was given by Bux–Ershov–Rapinchuk [8]. Our first goal in this paper is to give an easier and more direct proof of Theorem 1.1, which also give a better quantitative estimate: we give an explicitly constructed congruence subgroup G(M) of $Aut(F_2)$ which is contained in a given finite index subgroup H of $Aut(F_2)$ of index n. Our estimates on the index of M in F_2 as a function of n are substantially better than those of [8] – see Theorems 2.7 and 2.9.

We then turn to $\Gamma = \Phi_2$, the free metabelian group on two generators. The initial treatment of Φ_2 is similar to F_2 , but quite surprisingly, the first named author showed in [4] a negative answer, i.e. $C(\Phi_2) \neq \{e\}$. We also give a shorter proof of this result, deducing that:

Theorem 1.2. $C(\Phi_2) = \hat{F}_{\omega}$.

We then go ahead from 2 to 3 and prove:

Theorem 1.3. $C(\Phi_3)$ contains a copy of \hat{F}_{ω} . In particular, the congruence subgroup property (strongly) fails for Φ_3 .

This is also surprising, especially if compared with an upcoming paper of the first author [5] showing that $C(\Phi_n)$ is abelian for $n \geq 4$. So, while the dichotomy for the abelian case $A_n = \mathbb{Z}^n$ is between n = 2 and $n \geq 3$, for the metabelian case, it is between n = 2, 3 and $n \geq 4$.

A main ingredient of the proof of Theorem 1.3 is showing that $Aut(\Phi_3)$ is large, i.e. it has a finite index subgroup which is mapped onto a non-abelian free group. For this we use the method developed by Grunewald and the second author in [13] to produce arithmetic quotients of $Aut(F_n)$. In particular, it is shown there that $Aut(F_3)$ is large. Our starting point to prove Theorem 1.3 is the observation that the same proof shows also that $Aut(\Phi_3)$ is large.

In our proof of Theorem 1.2, the largeness of $Aut(\Phi_2)$ is also playing a crucial role. But, a word of warning is needed here: largeness of $Aut(\Gamma)$ by itself is not sufficient to deduce negative answer for the CSP for Γ . For example, $Aut(F_2)$ is large but has an affirmative answer for the CSP. At the same time, as mentioned above, $Aut(F_3)$ is large and we do not know whether F_3 has the congruence subgroup property or not. To prove Theorem 1.3 we use the largeness of $Aut(\Phi_3)$ combined with the fact that every non-abelian finite simple group which is involved in $Aut(\hat{\Phi}_3)$ is already involved in $GL_3(R)$ for some finite commutative ring R, as we will show below.

The paper is organized as follows: In §2 we give a short proof for Theorem 1.1 and in §3 for Theorem 1.2. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3. We close in §5 with some remarks and open problems, about free nilpotent and solvable groups.

2. The CSP for F_2

Before we start, let us quote some general propositions which Bux–Ershov–Rapinchuk bring throughout their paper.

Proposition 2.1. (cf. [8], Lemma 2.1) *Let:*

$$1 \to G_1 \stackrel{\alpha}{\to} G_2 \stackrel{\beta}{\to} G_3 \to 1$$

be an exact sequence of groups. Assume that G_1 is finitely generated and that the center of its profinite completion \hat{G}_1 is trivial. Then, the sequence of the profinite completions

$$1 \to \hat{G}_1 \stackrel{\hat{\alpha}}{\to} \hat{G}_2 \stackrel{\hat{\beta}}{\to} \hat{G}_3 \to 1$$

is also exact.

Proposition 2.2. (cf. [8], Corollaries 2.3, 2.4. and 2.7) Let F be the free group on the set X, $|X| \ge 2$. Then:

- 1. The center of \hat{F} , the profinite completion of F, is trivial.
- 2. If $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, then the centralizer of [y, x] in \hat{F} is $Z_{\hat{F}}([y, x]) = \overline{\langle [y, x] \rangle}$, the closure of the cyclic group generated by [y, x].

We start now with the following lemma whose easy proof is left to the reader:

Lemma 2.3. Let $H \leq G = Aut(\Gamma)$ be a congruence subgroup. Then:

$$\ker(\hat{G} \to Aut(\hat{\Gamma})) = \ker(\hat{H} \to Aut(\hat{\Gamma})).$$

In particular, the map $\hat{G} \to Aut(\hat{\Gamma})$ is injective if and only if the map $\hat{H} \to Aut(\hat{\Gamma})$ is injective.

Denote now $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ = the free group on x and y. It is a well known theorem of Nielsen (cf. [21], 3.5) that the kernel of the natural surjective map:

$$Aut(F_2) \to Aut(F_2/F_2') = Aut(\mathbb{Z}^2) = GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$$

is $Inn(F_2)$, the inner automorphism group of F_2 . It is also well known that the group $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \cong F_2$ is free on two generators and of finite index in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ which contains $\ker(GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}))$. Now, if we denote the preimage of $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ under the map $Aut(F_2) \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ by $Aut'(F_2)$, then $Aut'(F_2)$ is of finite index in $Aut(F_2)$ and contains the principal congruence subgroup:

$$\ker \left(Aut \left(F_2 \right) \to GL_2 \left(\mathbb{Z} \right) \to GL_2 \left(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \right) = Aut \left(F_2 / \left(F_2^4 F_2' \right) \right) \right).$$

So, by Lemma 2.3 it is enough to prove that $\widetilde{Aut'(F_2)} \to Aut(\widehat{F}_2)$ is injective. Now, by the description above, we deduce the exact sequence:

$$1 \to Inn(F_2) \to Aut'(F_2) \to \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \to 1.$$

As $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ is free, this sequence splits by the map:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \alpha = \begin{cases} x \mapsto & x \\ y \mapsto & yx^2 \end{cases}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \beta = \begin{cases} x \mapsto & xy^2 \\ y \mapsto & y \end{cases}$$

and thus: $Aut'(F_2) = Inn(F_2) \rtimes \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$. By Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, the exact sequence: $1 \to Inn(F_2) \to Aut'(F_2) \to \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \to 1$ yields the exact sequence:

$$1 \to \widehat{Inn(F_2)} \to \widehat{Aut'(F_2)} \to \widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to 1$$

which gives:

$$\widehat{Aut'(F_2)} = \widehat{Inn(F_2)} \rtimes \widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}.$$

Thus, all we need to show is that the following map is injective:

$$\widehat{Inn(F_2)} \rtimes \widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to Aut(\hat{F_2}).$$

We will prove this, in three parts: The first part is that the map $\widehat{Inn(F_2)} \to Aut(\hat{F}_2)$ is injective, but this is obvious as $\widehat{Inn(F_2)} \cong \hat{F}_2$ is mapped isomorphically to $Inn(\hat{F}_2) \cong \hat{F}_2$. The second part is to show that the map $\rho: \widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to Aut(\hat{F}_2)$ is injective, and the last part is to show that the intersection of the images of $\widehat{Inn(F_2)}$ and $\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}$ in $Aut(\hat{F}_2)$ is trivial, i.e. $Inn(\hat{F}_2) \cap Im\rho = \{e\}$.

So it remains to prove the next two lemmas, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.6:

Lemma 2.4. The map $\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to Aut(\hat{F}_2)$ is injective.

Before proving the lemma, we recall a classical result of Schreier:

Theorem 2.5. (cf. [21], 2.3 and 2.4) Let F be the free group on the set X where |X| = n, and Δ a subgroup of F of index m. Let T be a right Schreier transversal of Δ (i.e. a system of representatives of right cosets containing the identity, such that the initial segment of any element of T is also in T). Then:

- 1. Δ is a free group on $m \cdot (n-1) + 1$ elements.
- 2. The set $\{tx(\overline{tx})^{-1} \neq e \mid t \in T, x \in X\}$ is a free generating set for Δ , where for every $g \in F$ we denote by \bar{g} the unique element in T satisfying $\Delta g = \Delta \bar{g}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Define $\Delta = \ker(F_2 \to (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2)$. This is a characteristic subgroup of index 4 in F_2 , that by the first part of Theorem 2.5, is isomorphic to F_5 . We also have: $\hat{\Delta} = \ker(\hat{F}_2 \to (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2)$, and therefore, there is a natural homomorphism: $Aut(\hat{F}_2) \to Aut(\hat{\Delta}) \cong Aut(\hat{F}_5)$ which induces the composition $(\alpha, \beta) \to Aut(\hat{F}_2) \to Aut(\hat{\Delta})$. Thus, it is enough to show that the composition map $(\alpha, \beta) \to Aut(\hat{\Delta})$ is injective.

Now, let $X = \{x, y\}$ and $T = \{1, x, y, xy\}$ be a right Schreier transversal of Δ . By applying the second part of Theorem 2.5 for X and T, we get the following set of free generators for Δ :

$$e_1 = x^2$$
, $e_2 = yxy^{-1}x^{-1}$, $e_3 = y^2$, $e_4 = xyxy^{-1}$, $e_5 = xy^2x^{-1}$.

Hence, the automorphisms α and β act on Δ in the following way:

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} e_1 = x^2 \mapsto x^2 &= e_1 \\ e_2 = yxy^{-1}x^{-1} \mapsto yxy^{-1}x^{-1} &= e_2 \\ e_3 = y^2 \mapsto yx^2yx^2 &= e_2e_4e_3e_1 \\ e_4 = xyxy^{-1} \mapsto xyxy^{-1} &= e_4 \\ e_5 = xy^2x^{-1} \mapsto xyx^2yx &= e_4e_2e_5e_1 \end{cases}$$

$$\beta = \begin{cases} e_1 = x^2 \mapsto xy^2xy^2 &= e_5e_1e_3 \\ e_2 = yxy^{-1}x^{-1} \mapsto yxy^{-1}x^{-1} &= e_2 \\ e_3 = y^2 \mapsto y^2 &= e_3 \\ e_4 = xyxy^{-1} \mapsto xy^3xy &= e_5e_4e_3 \\ e_5 = xy^2x^{-1} \mapsto xy^2x^{-1} &= e_5 \end{cases}$$

Let us now define the map $\pi: \Delta \to \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \cong F_2$ (yes! these are the same α and β) by the following way:

$$\pi = \begin{cases} e_1 \mapsto & \alpha \\ e_2 \mapsto & 1 \\ e_3 \mapsto & \beta \\ e_4 \mapsto & \alpha^{-1} \\ e_5 \mapsto & \beta^{-1} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $N = \ker \pi$ is the normal subgroup of Δ generated as a normal subgroup by e_2 , e_1e_4 and e_3e_5 , and that N is invariant under the action of the automorphisms α and β , since:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha \left(e_{2} \right) &= e_{2} \in N \\ \alpha \left(e_{1}e_{4} \right) &= e_{1}e_{4} \in N \\ \alpha \left(e_{3}e_{5} \right) &= e_{2}e_{4}e_{3}e_{1}e_{4}e_{2}e_{5}e_{1} \\ &= e_{4} \left(\left(e_{4}^{-1}e_{2}e_{4} \right) \left(e_{3} \left(\left(e_{1}e_{4} \right) e_{2} \right) e_{3}^{-1} \right) \left(e_{3}e_{5} \right) \left(e_{1}e_{4} \right) \right) e_{4}^{-1} \in N \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \beta \left(e_{2} \right) &= e_{2} \in N \\ \beta \left(e_{1}e_{4} \right) &= e_{5}e_{1}e_{3}e_{5}e_{4}e_{3} = e_{5} \left(\left(e_{1} \left(e_{3}e_{5} \right) e_{1}^{-1} \right) \left(e_{1}e_{4} \right) \left(e_{3}e_{5} \right) \right) e_{5}^{-1} \in N \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \beta \left(e_{3}e_{5} \right) &= e_{3}e_{5} \in N \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the homomorphism $\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to Aut(\hat{\Delta})$ induces a homomorphism: $\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to Aut(\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle})$, and thus it is enough to show that the last map is injective. Now, under this map, α and β act on $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ in the following way:

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \alpha = e_1 N \mapsto \alpha (e_1 N) = \alpha (e_1) N = e_1 N &= \alpha \\ \beta = e_3 N \mapsto \alpha (e_3 N) = \alpha (e_3) N = e_2 e_4 e_3 e_1 N &= \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha \end{cases}$$

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \alpha = e_1 N \mapsto \beta (e_1 N) = \beta (e_1) N = e_5 e_1 e_3 N &= \beta^{-1} \alpha \beta \\ \beta = e_3 N \mapsto \beta (e_3 N) = \beta (e_3) N = e_3 N &= \beta \end{cases}$$

Namely, α and β act via π on $\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}$ by the inner automorphisms α and β and hence $\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}$ is mapped isomorphically to $Inn(\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle})$, yielding that the map $\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to Aut(\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle})$ is injective and $\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to Aut(\widehat{F}_2)$ is injective as well, as required. \square

Lemma 2.6. $Inn(\hat{F}_2) \cap Im\rho = \{e\}, \text{ where } \rho : \widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to Aut(\hat{F}_2) \text{ is the map defined above.}$

Proof. First we observe that α and β fix $e_2 = [y, x]$. Thus, by the second part of Proposition 2.2, we have:

$$Inn(\hat{F}_{2})\cap \operatorname{Im}\rho\subseteq Z_{Inn(\hat{F}_{2})}\left(Inn\left([y,x]\right)\right)=\overline{\left\langle Inn\left([y,x]\right)\right\rangle }=\overline{\left\langle Inn\left(e_{2}\right)\right\rangle }.$$

Now, as $e_2 \in \ker \pi$, where π is as defined in the proof of Lemma 2.4, the image of $\overline{\langle Inn(e_2)\rangle}$ in $\overline{Inn(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle)}$ is trivial. Thus, the image of $\overline{Inn(\hat{F}_2)} \cap \operatorname{Im}\rho$ in $\overline{Inn(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle)}$ is trivial, and isomorphic to $\overline{Inn(\hat{F}_2)} \cap \operatorname{Im}\rho$ as we saw that $\operatorname{Im}\rho$ is mapped isomorphically to $\overline{Inn(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle)}$. So $\overline{Inn(\hat{F}_2)} \cap \operatorname{Im}\rho$ is trivial. \square

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. In [8], the authors give an explicit construction of a congruence subgroup which is contained in a given finite index subgroup of $Aut(\hat{F}_2)$. They prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.7. (cf. [8], Theorem 5.1) Let H be a finite index normal subgroup of $G = Aut(F_2)$ such that $Inn(F_2) \leq H \leq Aut'(F_2)$ and let $n = [Aut'(F_2): H]$. Pick two distinct odd primes $p, q \nmid n$, and set $m = n \cdot p^{n+1}$. Then, there exists an explicitly constructed normal subgroup $M \triangleleft F_2$ of index dividing $144 \cdot m^4 \cdot q^{36 \cdot m^4 + 1}$ such that $G(M) \leq H$, when for a general normal subgroup $M \triangleleft F_2$ we define:

$$G(M) = \{ \sigma \in G \mid \sigma(M) = M, \ \sigma \ acts \ trivially \ on \ F_2/M \}.$$

We end this section with a much simpler explicit construction of a congruence subgroup and with a better bound for the index of M. But before, let us recall the "discrete version" of Proposition 2.2 from [8]:

Proposition 2.8. (cf. [8], Propositions 2.2 and 2.6) Let F be the free group on the set X, $|X| \ge 2$, and let F/N be a finite quotient of F. Pick a prime p not dividing the order of F/N and set $M = N^p N'$. Then:

1. The image of every normal abelian subgroup of F/M through the natural projection $F/M \to F/N$, is trivial.

2. If $N \subseteq F_2'F_2^6$, $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, then the image of the centralizer $Z_{F/M}([y, x] \cdot M)$ through the natural projection $F/M \to F/N$, is $\langle [y, x] \cdot N \rangle$.

Theorem 2.9. Let H be a finite index normal subgroup of $G = Aut(F_2)$ such that $Inn(F_2) \leq H \leq Aut'(F_2) = Inn(F_2) \rtimes \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ and let $n = [Aut'(F_2) : H]$. Then for every prime $p \nmid 6n$, there exists an explicitly constructed normal subgroup $M \triangleleft F_2$ of index dividing $144 \cdot n^4 \cdot p^{36 \cdot n^4 + 1}$ such that $G(M) \leq H$.

Proof. Recall the map $\pi: F_2 \supseteq \Delta \to \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ from the proof of Lemma 2.4, and let $t_1 = 1, t_2 = x, t_3 = y, t_4 = xy$ be the system of representatives of right cosets of Δ in F_2 . Denote also $K = H \cap \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ and define:

$$N = F_2' F_2^6 \bigcap_{g \in F_2} g^{-1} \pi^{-1} (K) g = F_2' F_2^6 \bigcap_{i=1}^4 t_i^{-1} \pi^{-1} (K) t_i$$
$$M = F_2' F_2^4 \cap N' N^p$$

Then $\pi^{-1}(K)$ is a subgroup of index n in Δ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^4 t_i^{-1} \pi^{-1}(K) t_i$ is a normal subgroup of F_2 of index dividing n^4 in Δ , and of index dividing $4n^4$ in F_2 . So as $F_2'F_2^6$ is of index 9 in Δ , N is a normal subgroup of index dividing $36 \cdot n^4$ in F_2 . Thus, by the Schreier formula, the index of $N'N^p$ in F_2 divides $36 \cdot n^4 \cdot p^{36 \cdot n^4 + 1}$ and the index of M in F_2 is dividing $4 \cdot 36 \cdot n^4 \cdot p^{36 \cdot n^4 + 1}$. So it remains to show that $G(M) \leq H$.

Let $\sigma \in G(M)$. As $M \leq F_2' F_2^4$ we have:

$$G\left(M\right) \leq \ker\left(G \to Aut\left(F_{2}/\left(F_{2}'F_{2}^{4}\right)\right)\right) \leq Aut'\left(F_{2}\right) = Inn\left(F_{2}\right) \rtimes \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$$

and therefore we can write $\sigma = Inn(f) \cdot \delta$ for some $f \in F_2$ and $\delta \in \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$. By assumption, σ acts trivially on F_2/M and thus δ acts on F_2/M as $Inn(f^{-1})$. Now, as α and β fix [y,x], we deduce that so does δ . Thus, $f \cdot M \in Z_{F_2/M}([y,x] \cdot M)$ and by Proposition 2.8, $f \cdot N \in \langle [y,x] \cdot N \rangle$. Hence, δ acts on the group F_2/M as $Inn([y,x]^r \cdot n)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in N$. Therefore, δ acts on Δ/M as $Inn(e_2^r \cdot n)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in N$. So, δ acts on $\pi(\Delta)/\pi(M) = \Delta/(M \cdot \ker \pi)$ as $Inn(\pi(e_2^r \cdot n))$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in N$. But $e_2 \in \ker \pi$, so δ acts on $\pi(\Delta)/\pi(M)$ as $Inn(\pi(n))$ for some $n \in N$. Now, by the definition of N, $\pi(N) \subseteq K$ and also $\pi(M) \subseteq K'K^p$, so δ acts on $\pi(\Delta)/K'K^p$ as Inn(k) for some $k \in K$. Moreover, by the definition of π we have $\pi(\Delta) = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ and by the computations we made in the proof of Lemma 2.4, δ acts on $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ as $Inn(\delta)$. Thus, there exists some $k \in K$ such that $Inn(\delta) \cdot Inn(k)^{-1}$ acts trivially on $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle/K'K^p$, i.e. $\delta \cdot k^{-1} \in Z(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle/K'K^p)$. Now, by the first part of Proposition 2.8, as $Z(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle/K'K^p)$ is an abelian normal subgroup of $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle/K'K^p$ it is mapped trivially to $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle/K$. I.e. $\delta \cdot k^{-1} \in K$, so also $\delta \in K \subseteq H$. Thus, $\sigma = Inn(f) \cdot \delta \in H$, as required. \square

3. The CSP for Φ_2

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.2, and will show that the congruence kernel of the free metabelian group on two generators is the free profinite group on a countable number of generators.

Before we start, let us observe that for a group Γ , one can also ask a parallel congruence subgroup problem for $G = Out(\Gamma)$. I.e. one can ask whether every finite index subgroup of G contains a principal congruence subgroup of the form:

$$G(M) = \ker (G \to Out(\Gamma/M))$$

for some finite index characteristic subgroup $M \leq \Gamma$. When Γ is finitely generated, this is equivalent to the question whether the congruence map $\hat{G} \to Out(\hat{\Gamma})$ is injective. Moreover, it is easy to see that Lemma 2.3 has a parallel version for G, namely, if $H \leq G$ is a congruence subgroup of G, then:

$$\ker(\widehat{G} \to Out(\widehat{\Gamma})) = \ker(\widehat{H} \to Out(\widehat{\Gamma})).$$

We start now with the next proposition which is slightly more general than Lemma 3.1 in [8]. Nevertheless, it is proven by the same arguments:

Proposition 3.1. (cf. [8], Lemma 3.1) Let Γ be a finitely generated residually finite group such that $\hat{\Gamma}$ has a trivial center. Considering the congruence map $\widetilde{Out}(\hat{\Gamma}) \to Out(\hat{\Gamma})$, we have:

$$C(\Gamma) = \ker(\widehat{Aut}(\Gamma)) \to Aut(\widehat{\Gamma}) \cong \ker(\widehat{Out}(\Gamma)) \to Out(\widehat{\Gamma}).$$

It is well known that Φ_2 is a residually finite group (cf. [4], Theorem 2.11). It is also proven there that $Z(\hat{\Phi}_2)$ is trivial (proposition 2.10). So by the above proposition:

$$C(\Phi_2) = \ker(\widehat{Aut}(\Phi_2)) \to Aut(\hat{\Phi}_2) \cong \ker(\widehat{Out}(\Phi_2)) \to Out(\hat{\Phi}_2)).$$

In addition, it is an old result by Bachmuth [3] that the kernel of the surjective map:

$$\ker \left(\operatorname{Aut} \left(\Phi_2 \right) \to \operatorname{Aut} \left(\Phi_2 / \Phi_2' \right) = \operatorname{Aut} \left(\mathbb{Z}^2 \right) = \operatorname{GL}_2 \left(\mathbb{Z} \right) \right) = \operatorname{Inn} \left(\Phi_2 \right)$$

i.e., $Out(\Phi_2) \cong GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Now, the free group $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ is a congruence subgroup of $Out(\Phi_2)$ as it contains:

$$\ker\left(Out\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\to Out\left(\Phi_{2}/\Phi_{2}^{\prime}\Phi_{2}^{4}\right)\right)=\ker\left(GL_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\to GL_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}\right)\right).$$

So by the appropriate version of Lemma 2.3 and by Proposition 3.1, we obtain that:

$$C(\Phi_2) = \ker(\widehat{Out(\Phi_2)}) \to Out(\hat{\Phi}_2))$$

$$= \ker(\widehat{GL_2(\mathbb{Z})}) \to Out(\hat{\Phi}_2))$$

$$= \ker\left(\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \to Out(\hat{\Phi}_2)\right).$$

Now, as $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ is a free group, we can also state that:

$$C(\Phi_{2}) = \ker\left(\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \to Out(\hat{\Phi}_{2})\right)$$

$$\cong \ker(\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to Aut(\hat{F}_{2}) \to Aut(\hat{\Phi}_{2}) \to Out(\hat{\Phi}_{2}))$$
(3.1)

where α and β are the automorphisms of F_2 that we defined in the previous section, which are preimages of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ under the map $Aut(F_2) \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, respectively. So all we need to show is that:

Lemma 3.2.
$$C(\Phi_2) = \ker(\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to Out(\widehat{\Phi}_2)) = \widehat{F}_{\omega}$$
.

Proof. As the free group $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ is a congruence subgroup of the group $Aut\left(\mathbb{Z}^2\right) = Out\left(\mathbb{Z}^2\right) = GL_2\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)$, we have:

$$\begin{split} C\left(\mathbb{Z}^2\right) &= \ker\left(\left\langle \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array} \right) \right\rangle \to Out(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2) \right) \\ &= \ker(\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to Out(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2)) \\ &= \ker(\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to Aut(\hat{\Phi}_2) \to Out(\hat{\Phi}_2) \to Out(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2) = Aut(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2)). \end{split}$$

Thus, if we denote: $C = \ker(\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to Aut(\widehat{\Phi}_2))$, then using equation (3.1), we have: $C \leq C(\Phi_2) \leq C(\mathbb{Z}^2)$. Now, if we consider the action of $\widehat{\Phi}_2$ on $\overline{\Phi'_2} = \ker(\widehat{\Phi}_2 \to \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2)$ by conjugation, then as $\overline{\Phi'_2}$ is abelian, we actually obtain an action on $\overline{\Phi'_2}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[\widehat{\Phi}_2/\overline{\Phi'_2}] = \mathbb{Z}[\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2]$ -module, which is generated by the element [y,x] as a $\mathbb{Z}[\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2]$ -module, since $\langle x,y \mid [y,x]=1 \rangle$ is a presentation of \mathbb{Z}^2 . Moreover, as we observed previously, α and β fix [y,x]. Therefore, $C(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ acts trivially not only on $\widehat{\Phi}_2/\overline{\Phi'_2} = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ but also on $\overline{\Phi'_2}$.

Let us now make the following observation: if σ, τ are two automorphisms of a group Γ which act trivially on Γ/M and on M, where $M \triangleleft \Gamma$ is abelian, then σ and τ commute. Indeed, if $g \in \Gamma$, then $\sigma(g) = g \cdot m$ and $\tau(g) = g \cdot n$ for some $m, n \in M$, and thus:

$$\tau\left(\sigma\left(g\right)\right) = \tau\left(g\cdot m\right) = g\cdot n\cdot m = g\cdot m\cdot n = \sigma\left(g\cdot n\right) = \sigma\left(\tau\left(g\right)\right).$$

The conclusion from the above observation and from the previous discussion is that $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^2\right)/C$ is abelian, and thus, $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^2\right)/C\left(\Phi_2\right)$ is also abelian. Finally, $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^2\right)$ is known to be isomorphic to \hat{F}_{ω} [20,15]. Moreover, by Proposition 1.10 and Corollary 3.9 of [17] every normal closed subgroup N of \hat{F}_{ω} such that \hat{F}_{ω}/N is abelian, is also isomorphic to \hat{F}_{ω} . Thus, $C\left(\Phi_2\right) \cong \hat{F}_{\omega}$ as well, as required. \square

Remark 3.3. Our proof of Theorem 1.2 is shorter than the one given in [4], but the latter gives more information. We show here that $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^2\right)/C\left(\Phi_2\right)$ is abelian, while from [4] one can deduce that, in fact, $C\left(\Phi_2\right) = C\left(\mathbb{Z}^2\right)$. See §5 for more.

4. The CSP for Φ_3

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.3 which claims that $C(\Phi_3)$ contains a copy of \hat{F}_{ω} . Let us start by showing that $Aut(\Phi_3)$ is large:

Proposition 4.1. The group $Aut(\Phi_3)$ is large, i.e. it has a finite index subgroup that can be mapped onto a non-abelian free group.

Proof. The proof will follow the method developed in [13] to produce arithmetic quotients of $Aut(F_n)$. Denote the free group on 3 generators by $F_3 = \langle x, y, z \rangle$, and the cyclic group of order 2 by $C_2 = \{1, g\}$. Define the map $\pi : F_3 \to C_2$ by: $\pi = \begin{cases} x & \mapsto g \\ y, z & \mapsto 1 \end{cases}$, and denote its kernel by $R = \ker \pi$. Then, using the right transversal $T = \{1, x\}$, we deduce by Theorem 2.5 that R is freely generated by: x^2 , y, xyx^{-1} , z, xzx^{-1} . Thus, $\bar{R} = R/R' = \mathbb{Z}^5$ is generated as a free abelian group by the images:

$$v_1 = \overline{x^2}, v_2 = \overline{y}, v_3 = \overline{xyx^{-1}}, v_4 = \overline{z}, v_5 = \overline{xzx^{-1}}.$$

Now, the action of F_3 on R by conjugation induces an action of $F_3/R = C_2 = \{1, g\}$ on $\bar{R} = R/R'$, sending:

$$g \mapsto \begin{cases} v_1 = \overline{x^2} \mapsto \overline{x^2} & = v_1 \\ v_2 = \overline{y} \mapsto \overline{x^{-2} (xyx^{-1}) x^2} = \overline{xyx^{-1}} & = v_3 \\ v_3 = \overline{xyx^{-1}} \mapsto \overline{y} & = v_2 \\ v_4 = \overline{z} \mapsto \overline{x^{-2} (xzx^{-1}) x^2} = \overline{xzx^{-1}} & = v_5 \\ v_5 = \overline{xzx^{-1}} \mapsto \overline{z} & = v_4 \end{cases} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = B.$$

The above matrix has two eigenvalues $\lambda = \pm 1$ and the eigenspaces are:

$$V_1 = Sp \{v_1, v_2 + v_3, v_4 + v_5\}$$
$$V_{-1} = Sp \{v_2 - v_3, v_4 - v_5\}.$$

Recall, $\Phi_3 = F_3/F_3''$, and as F_3/R is abelian, F_3/R' is metabelian. Thus, we have a surjective homomorphism: $\Phi_3 \to F_3/R'$. Denote now: $S = R/F_3''$, so we can identify: $F_3/R \cong \Phi_3/S$, $F_3/R' \cong \Phi_3/S'$ and $\bar{R} = R/R' \cong S/S' = \bar{S}$. So as before, $\Phi_3/S = C_2$ acts on \bar{S} by the matrix B.

Denote now $G(S) = \{ \sigma \in Aut(\Phi_3) \mid \sigma(S) = S \}$. It is clear that G(S) is of finite index in $Aut(\Phi_3)$ with a natural map: $G(S) \to Aut(S)$ which induces a map: $\rho : G(S) \to Aut(\bar{S}) = GL_5(\mathbb{Z})$. We claim now that if $\sigma \in G(S)$ then $\rho(\sigma)$ commutes with B. First observe that there exists some $s \in S$ such that $\sigma(x) = sx$ (x now plays the role of the image of x under the map $F_3 \to \Phi_3$). Now, let $t \in S$, and remember that the action of B on \bar{S} is induced by the action of x on S by conjugation. So:

$$\sigma(x^{-1}tx) = \sigma(x)^{-1} \sigma(t) \sigma(x) =$$

$$= x^{-1}s^{-1}\sigma(t) sx =$$

$$= (x^{-1}sx)^{-1} (x^{-1}\sigma(t) x) (x^{-1}sx)$$

and hence:

$$\begin{split} \left(\rho\left(\sigma\right)\cdot B\right)\left(\overline{t}\right) &= \overline{\sigma\left(x^{-1}tx\right)} = \\ &= \overline{\left(x^{-1}sx\right)^{-1}\left(x^{-1}\sigma\left(t\right)x\right)\left(x^{-1}sx\right)} = \\ &= \overline{x^{-1}\sigma\left(t\right)x} = \left(B\cdot\rho\left(\sigma\right)\right)\left(\overline{t}\right). \end{split}$$

Therefore, $\rho(G(S))$ commutes with B. It follows that the eigenspaces of B are invariant under the action of G(S). In particular, we deduce that V_{-1} is invariant under the action of $\rho(G(S))$. Thus, we obtain a homomorphism $\nu: G(S) \to Aut(V_{-1} \cap \bar{S}) = GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Consider now the following automorphisms of $Aut(\Phi_3)$ (x, y, z) play the role of the images of x, y, z under $F_3 \to \Phi_3$:

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} x \mapsto & x \\ y \mapsto & y \\ z \mapsto & zy \end{cases}, \quad \beta = \begin{cases} x \mapsto & x \\ y \mapsto & yz \\ z \mapsto & z \end{cases}$$

So $\alpha, \beta \in G(S)$ act on $V_{-1} = Sp\{u_1 = v_2 - v_3, u_2 = v_4 - v_5\}$ in the following way:

$$\alpha(u_1) = \alpha\left(\overline{y} - \overline{xyx^{-1}}\right) = \overline{y} - \overline{xyx^{-1}} = u_1$$

$$\alpha(u_2) = \alpha\left(\overline{z} - \overline{xzx^{-1}}\right) = \overline{z} + \overline{y} - \overline{xzx^{-1}} - \overline{xyx^{-1}} = u_2 + u_1$$

$$\beta(u_1) = \beta\left(\overline{y} - \overline{xyx^{-1}}\right) = \overline{y} + \overline{z} - \overline{xyx^{-1}} - \overline{xzx^{-1}} = u_1 + u_2$$
$$\beta(u_2) = \beta\left(\overline{z} - \overline{xzx^{-1}}\right) = \overline{z} - \overline{xzx^{-1}} = u_2$$

Therefore, under the map $\nu: G(S) \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ we have: $\alpha \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\beta \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus, the image of G(S) contains $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ which is free and of finite index in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Finally, if we denote the preimage $H = \nu^{-1} \left(\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right)$, then H is a finite index subgroup of $Aut(\Phi_3)$ that can be mapped onto a free group, as required. \square

Let us now continue with the following definition:

Definition 4.2. We say that a group P is involved in a group Q, if it isomorphic to a quotient group of some subgroup of Q.

It is not difficult to see that if a finite group P is involved in a profinite group Q, than it is involved in a finite quotient of Q. Now, we showed that $Aut(\Phi_3)$ has a finite index subgroup H which can be mapped onto F_2 . Thus we have a map: $\widehat{H} \to \widehat{F}_2$, but as \widehat{F}_2 is free, the map splits, and thus \widehat{H} and hence $\widehat{Aut(\Phi_3)}$, contains a copy of \widehat{F}_2 . Thus, any finite group is involved in $\widehat{Aut(\Phi_3)}$. On the other hand, we claim:

Proposition 4.3. Let P be a non-abelian finite simple group which is involved in $Aut(\hat{\Phi}_3)$. Then, for some prime p and some $d \in \mathbb{N}$, P is involved in $SL_3(p^d)$, the special linear group over the field of order p^d .

Proof. Let F_n be the free group on x_1, \ldots, x_n . Then there is a natural injective homomorphism from F_n into the matrix group:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid g \in F_n, \ t \in \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}[F_n] t_i \right\}$$

defined by the map:

$$x_i \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x_i & 0 \\ t_i & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ 1 \le i \le n$$

where t_i is a free basis for a right $\mathbb{Z}[F_n]$ -module. This is called the Magnus embedding. Usually, its properties are studied by Fox's free differential calculus, but we will not need it here explicitly (cf. [6,25,18]).

One can prove, by induction on its length, that for a word $w \in F_n$, under the Magnus embedding, $w \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n w_i t_i & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where:

$$w - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - 1) w_i.$$
(4.1)

The identity (4.1) shows that the polynomials w_i determine the word w uniquely. Thus, we have an injective map (which is not a homomorphism) $J : End(F_n) \to M_n(\mathbb{Z}[F_n])$ defined by:

$$\alpha \stackrel{J}{\mapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(x_1)_1 & \cdots & \alpha(x_n)_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha(x_1)_n & \cdots & \alpha(x_n)_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is not difficult to check, using the identity (4.1), that the above map satisfies:

$$J(\alpha \circ \beta) = J(\alpha) \cdot \alpha (J(\beta))$$

where by $\alpha(J(\beta))$ we mean that α acts on every entry of $J(\beta)$ separately.

Now, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, denote: $K_{n,m} = F_n^m F_n'$ and $\mathbb{Z}_m = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Then, the natural maps $F_n \to F_n/K_{n,m} = \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ and $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_m$ induce a map:

$$\pi_{n,m}: F_n \to \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid g \in F_n, t \in \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}[F_n]t_i \right\}$$
$$\to \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid g \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n, t \in \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_m[\mathbb{Z}_m^n]t_i \right\}.$$

It is shown in [4, Proposition 2.6], that $\ker(\pi_{n,m}) = K_{n,m}^m K'_{n,m}$ and hence $\Phi_{n,m} := \operatorname{Im}(\pi_{n,m}) \cong F_n/K_{n,m}^m K'_{n,m}$. Moreover, it is proven there (Proposition 2.7) that we have the following equality:

$$\hat{\Phi}_n = \varprojlim_m \Phi_{n,m}.$$

Observe now that for every $m_2|m_1$, $\ker(\Phi_{n,m_1} \to \Phi_{n,m_2})$ is characteristic in Φ_{n,m_1} , and for every m, $\ker(\hat{\Phi}_n \to \Phi_{n,m})$ is characteristic in $\hat{\Phi}_n$. Thus:

$$Aut(\hat{\Phi}_n) = Aut(\underbrace{\lim}_{m} \Phi_{n,m}) = \underbrace{\lim}_{m} Aut(\Phi_{n,m}).$$

Now, observe that the identity (4.1) is also valid for the entries of the elements of $\Phi_{n,m}$, and thus, every element of $\Phi_{n,m}$ is determined by its left lower coordinate. Therefore, as every automorphism of $\Phi_{n,m}$ can be lifted to an endomorphism of F_n , we have an

injective map (which is not a homomorphism) $J_m: Aut(\Phi_{n,m}) \to M_n(\mathbb{Z}_m[\mathbb{Z}_m^n])$ which satisfies the identity:

$$J_m\left(\alpha \circ \beta\right) = J_m\left(\alpha\right) \cdot \alpha\left(J_m\left(\beta\right)\right)$$

where the action of α on $\mathbb{Z}_m[\mathbb{Z}_m^n] = \mathbb{Z}_m[F_n/K_{n,m}]$ is through the natural projection $\Phi_{n,m} \cong F_n/K_{n,m}^m K'_{n,m} \to F_n/K_{n,m} \cong \mathbb{Z}_m^n$.

We denote now $KA\left(\Phi_{n,m}\right) = \ker\left(Aut\left(\Phi_{n,m}\right) \to Aut\left(\Phi_{n,m}/K_{n,m}\right)\right)$. Observe, that as $KA\left(\Phi_{n,m}\right)$ acts trivially on $\Phi_{n,m}/K_{n,m} = \mathbb{Z}_m^n$, the map J_m gives us a homomorphism, which is also injective, as mentioned above:

$$J_m: KA(\Phi_{n,m}) \to GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_m[\mathbb{Z}_m^n]).$$

Now, if P is a non-abelian simple group which is involved in $Aut(\hat{\Phi}_3)$, then it must be involved in $Aut(\Phi_{3,m})$ for some m. Thus, it must be involved either in $Aut(\Phi_{3,m}/K_{3,m}) = GL_3(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ or in $KA(\Phi_{3,m}) \leq GL_3(\mathbb{Z}_m[\mathbb{Z}_m^3])$. So it must be involved in $GL_3(R)$ for some finite commutative ring R. As every finite commutative ring is artinian, it can be decomposed as:

$$R = R_1 \times \ldots \times R_l$$

for some local finite rings R_1, \ldots, R_l , so:

$$GL_3(R) = GL_3(R_1) \times \ldots \times GL_3(R_l)$$

and thus P must be involved in $GL_3(R)$ for some local finite commutative ring R. Denote the unique maximal ideal of R by $M \triangleleft R$. As R is a finite local Noetherian ring, it is well known that $M^r = 0$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note now that if $S, T \triangleleft R$ for some commutative ring R, and

$$I + A \in \ker (GL_3(R) \to GL_3(R/S))$$

 $I + B \in \ker (GL_3(R) \to GL_3(R/T))$

when I denotes the identity element in $GL_3(R)$, then

$$[I+A,I+B] \in \ker (GL_3(R) \to GL_3(R/ST))$$
.

Indeed, if $I + C = (I + A)^{-1}$ and $I + D = (I + B)^{-1}$ then, as $AB = CD = AD = BC = 0 \pmod{ST}$ we have:

$$[I + A, I + B] = (I + A) (I + B) (I + C) (I + D) =$$

$$= I + AC + A + BD + B + C + D \pmod{ST}$$

$$= I + (I + A) (I + C) - I + (I + B) (I + D) - I = I \pmod{ST}.$$

With the above observation we deduce that for every $k \geq 1$, the kernel of the map $GL_3\left(R/M^{k+1}\right) \to GL_3\left(R/M^k\right)$ is abelian. So, P must be involved in $GL_3\left(R/M\right) = GL_3\left(p^d\right)$ for some prime p and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, using the fact that $GL_3\left(p^d\right)/SL_3\left(p^d\right)$ is abelian, we obtain that P is involved in $SL_3\left(p^d\right)$, as required. \square

Corollary 4.4. There exists a finite simple group which is not involved in $Aut(\hat{\Phi}_3)$.

Proof. By the proposition above, it is enough to show that there is a finite simple non-abelian group which is not involved in $SL_3(p^d)$ for any prime p and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, by a theorem of Jordan, there exists an integer-valued function J(n) such that for every field \mathbb{F} , $char(\mathbb{F}) = 0$, any finite subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ contains a normal abelian subgroup of index at most J(n). As a corollary of this theorem, Schur proved that the same holds (with the same function) for any finite subgroup $Q \leq GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ with $char(\mathbb{F}) = p > 0$, provided $p \nmid |Q|$ (cf. [26] chapter 9). Clearly, the same holds for any group which is involved in such a finite group Q.

We claim that for n large enough, Alt(n) is not involved in $SL_3(p^d)$ for any p and d. Indeed, fix two different primes q_1 and q_2 larger than J(3). Then, for n sufficiently large (e.g. $n > q_i^3$) the q_i -sylow subgroup S_i of Alt(n) is non-abelian (since Alt(n) contains the non-abelian q_i -group of order q_i^3) and every subgroup of S_i of index $\leq J(3)$ is equal to S_i , so also non-abelian. If Alt(n) were involved in $SL_3(p^d)$ then for at least one of the $q_i, q_i \neq p$, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 4.5. The congruence kernel $C(\Phi_3)$ contains a copy of \hat{F}_{ω} .

Proof. The immediate conclusion of Corollary 4.4 is that $Aut(\hat{\Phi}_3)$ does not contain a copy of \hat{F}_2 . Thus, the intersection of $C(\Phi_3)$ and the copy of \hat{F}_2 in $\widehat{Aut(\Phi_3)}$ is not trivial. Thus, $C(\Phi_3)$ contains a non-trivial normal closed subgroup N of \hat{F}_2 . By Theorem 3.10 in [17] it contains a copy of \hat{F}_{ω} , as required. \square

5. Remarks and open problems

We end this paper with several remarks and open problems. Denote the free solvable group of derived length r on 2 generators by $\Phi_{2,r}$. By combining the results of [9, Theorem 1] and [14, Theorem 1.4] we have:

$$\ker\left(Aut\left(\Phi_{2,r}\right)\to Aut\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)=GL_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)=Inn\left(\Phi_{2,r}\right)$$

for every r, i.e. $Out(\Phi_{2,r}) = GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. So by the same arguments as in §3 we have:

$$C(\Phi_{2,r}) = \ker(\widehat{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \to Out(\widehat{\Phi}_{2,r})).$$

As $Out(\hat{\Phi}_{2,r+1})$ is mapped onto $Out(\hat{\Phi}_{2,r})$, we obtain the sequence:

$$C(\mathbb{Z}^2) = C(\Phi_{2,1}) \ge C(\Phi_2) = C(\Phi_{2,2}) \ge C(\Phi_{2,3}) \ge$$

 $\ge C(\Phi_{2,4}) \ge \dots \ge C(\Phi_{2,r}) \ge \dots \ge C(F_2) = \{e\}$

and a natural question is whether the inequalities are strict or not. An equivalent reformulation of this question is the following: the cosets of the kernels

$$\ker(GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = Out(\Phi_{2,r}) \to Out(\Phi_{2,r}/K))$$

for characteristic finite index subgroups $K \leq \Phi_{2,r}$ provide a basis for a topology $\mathscr{C}(r)$ on $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, called the congruence topology with respect to $\Phi_{2,r}$, which is weaker (equal) than the profinite topology \mathscr{F} of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and stronger (equal) than the classical congruence topology of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The latter is equal to $\mathscr{C}(1)$. So, the question above is equivalent to the question whether these topologies are strictly weaker than \mathscr{F} , and whether the topology $\mathscr{C}(r)$, for a given r, is strictly weaker than $\mathscr{C}(r+1)$.

For example, Theorem 1.1 which states that $C(F_2) = \{e\}$ is equivalent to the statement that the congruence topology which $Out(\hat{F}_2)$ induces on $Out(F_2) = GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is equal to the profinite topology of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Considering Theorem 1.2 we deduce that $\mathscr{C}(2) \subsetneq \mathscr{F}$, but with the proof we gave here one can not decide whether $\mathscr{C}(1) = \mathscr{C}(2)$ or $\mathscr{C}(1) \subsetneq \mathscr{C}(2)$. Equivalently, we can not decide whether $C(\mathbb{Z}^2) = C(\Phi_2)$ or $C(\mathbb{Z}^2) \not\supseteq C(\Phi_2)$. But, in [4] it was shown quite surprisingly, that:

Theorem 5.1.
$$\mathscr{C}(1) = \mathscr{C}(2)$$
, or equivalently $C(\mathbb{Z}^2) = C(\Phi_2)$.

The proof in [4] suggested to conjecture that $\mathscr{C}(1) = \mathscr{C}(2) = \mathscr{C}(r)$ for every r. But, the explicit construction of a congruence subgroup we gave in §2 gives a counterexample:

Proposition 5.2. $\mathscr{C}(1) \subsetneq \mathscr{C}(r)$ for every $r \geq 3$. Equivalently $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^2\right) \not\supseteq C\left(\Phi_{2,r}\right)$ for every $r \geq 3$.

Proof. Denote $G = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \leq GL_2\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)$. Then by a theorem of Reiner [24], for every $p \neq 2$, $G'G^p$ is not a congruence subgroup of $GL_2\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)$ in the classical manner, i.e. $G'G^p \notin \mathcal{C}$ (1). On the other hand, applying the explicit construction given in Theorem 2.9, we obtain a finite index normal subgroup $M \triangleleft F_2$ such that F_2/M is of solvability length 3 such that 1:

$$\ker \left(Out\left(F_{2}\right)=GL_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\to Out\left(F_{2}/M\right)\right)\leq G'G^{p}.$$

¹ We remark that if one wants M to be characteristic, all we need to do, is to replace M by $\bigcap_{\sigma \in Aut(F_2)} \sigma(M)$, and this procedure does not change the solvability length of F_2/M .

This shows that $G'G^p$ is a congruence subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the congruence topology induced by $Out(\hat{\Phi}_{2,3})$. Equivalently, $\mathscr{C}(1) \subsetneq \mathscr{C}(3)$ or $C(\mathbb{Z}^2) \not\supseteq C(\Phi_{2,3})$, as required. \square

The proposition suggests the following conjecture:

Conjecture 5.3. $C(\Phi_{2,r}) \ngeq C(\Phi_{2,r+1})$ for every $r \ge 2$, or equivalently $\mathscr{C}(r) \subsetneqq \mathscr{C}(r+1)$. In particular, $C(\Phi_{2,r}) \ne \{e\} = C(F_2)$ and $\mathscr{C}(r) \ne \mathscr{F}$ for every r.

We should remark that we do not even know to decide whether $C(\Phi_{2,r}) \neq \{e\}$ for $r \geq 3$, i.e. we do not know if the congruence subgroup property holds for $\Phi_{2,r}$ for $r \geq 3$ or not. Note that our proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 claiming that $\Phi = \Phi_2 = \Phi_{2,2}$ and $\Phi = \Phi_3$ do not satisfy the CSP were based on two facts:

- 1. $Aut(\Phi)$ is large, and hence every finite group is involved in $\widehat{Aut(\Phi)}$, and
- 2. not every finite group is involved in $Aut(\hat{\Phi})$.

Now, for $\Phi = \Phi_{d,r}$, the free solvable group on $d \geq 2$ generators and solvability length r, part 2 is valid for $1 \leq r \leq 2$ and every d (with the same proof as for d = 3 in §4). But, as $C(\Phi_{d,1}) = \{e\}$ for every $d \geq 3$, and $C(\Phi_{d,2})$ is abelian for every $d \geq 4$ (cf. [5]), part 1 is not valid in these cases. On the other hand, for $\Phi = \Phi_{2,r}$ or $\Phi = \Phi_{3,r}$, part 1 is still true for every $r \geq 2$ but not part 2. In fact, we have:

Proposition 5.4. Let $\Phi_{d,r}$ be the free solvable group on $d \geq 2$ generators and solvability length r. Then if $r \geq 3$, then every finite group H is involved in $Aut(\hat{\Phi}_{d,r})$.

Proof. By the same arguments of [16, 5.2], it can be deduced from Gaschutz's Lemma that for every surjective homomorphism $\pi: \hat{\Phi}_{d,r} \to \Gamma$ where Γ is finite, the homomorphism

$$Aut(\hat{\Phi}_{d,r}) \ge \left\{ \sigma \in Aut(\hat{\Phi}_{d,r}) \mid \sigma \left(\ker \pi \right) = \ker \pi \right\} \to Aut\left(\Gamma \right)$$

is surjective. Thus, for proving our proposition it suffices to show that $\hat{\Phi}_{d,r}$ has a finite quotient Γ such that H is involved in $Aut(\Gamma)$. Now, by Cayley's Theorem, H is a subgroup of Sym(n-1) for some n and the later is a subgroup of $SL_n(p)$ for every prime p. Thus, the next lemma due to Robert Guralnick, finishes the proof of the proposition. \square

Lemma 5.5. For every $n \geq 2$, there exists a prime p and a finite group Γ generated by two elements and of solvability length three, such that $SL_n(p)$ is involved in $Aut(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Fix a prime r such that r > n + 1. Using Dirichlet's Theorem, pick a prime p such that r divides p - 1. Consider now the general affine group:

$$\Delta = AGL_1\left(r\right) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_r^*, \ b \in \mathbb{F}_r \right\} = \mathbb{F}_r \rtimes \mathbb{F}_r^*.$$

Then Δ is of order r(r-1). In addition, as r|(p-1), \mathbb{F}_p contains the unit roots of order r, fix one of them $\xi \neq 1$, and consider the diagonal matrix:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \xi^{r-1} \end{pmatrix} \in GL_{r-1}(p).$$

Now, we can embed Δ in $GL_{r-1}(p)$ by sending an element $b \in \{0, \ldots, r-1\} = \mathbb{F}_r$ to the diagonal matrix D^b (giving rise to a subgroup $N = \{D^b \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_r\}$) and an element $a \in \mathbb{F}_r^*$ to the permutation matrix which normalizes N, sending D^b to D^{ba} . So Δ has a module V of dimension r-1 over \mathbb{F}_p . Now, every Δ -submodule of V is also N-submodule. The N-submodules are direct sums of different one dimensional N-modules, the eigen-spaces of D^1 , on which \mathbb{F}_r^* acts transitively. We deduce that V is an irreducible module.

Denote now $W=\oplus_{i=1}^{r-2}V$ and using the obvious action of Δ on W, define: $\Gamma=W\rtimes\Delta$. We claim that Γ is generated by two elements. By the description above, it is clear why Δ is generated by two element, one of them is $D\in\mathbb{F}_r$ and we denote the other one by $S\in\mathbb{F}_r^*$. Let us now define

$$D' = ((\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_{r-2}), D), S' = ((\vec{0}, \dots, \vec{0}), S) \in W \times \Delta$$

where $\{\vec{e}_1,\ldots,\vec{e}_{r-1}\}$ is the standard basis of V. For a $1\leq j\leq r-1$ denote $\eta=\xi^j$. Note, that for every $1\leq k\leq r-2$, $1+\eta+\ldots+\eta^k=\frac{1-\eta^{k+1}}{1-\eta}\neq 0$. It follows that $D'^k=\left((\alpha_1\vec{e}_1,\ldots,\alpha_{r-2}\vec{e}_{r-2}),D^k\right)$ where $0\neq\alpha_i\in\mathbb{F}_p$ for every $1\leq k\leq r-2$. Now, there is a power S^l of $S,\,1\leq l\leq r-2$, which sends \vec{e}_{r-1} to \vec{e}_1 . We have also $S^lDS^{-l}=D^{r-k}$ for some $1\leq k\leq r-2$. Thus, for some $0\neq\alpha_i\in\mathbb{F}_p$, we can write:

$$\begin{split} w &= S'^l D' S'^{-l} D'^k \\ &= ((\vec{0}, \dots, \vec{0}), S^l) ((\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_{r-2}), D) ((\vec{0}, \dots, \vec{0}), S^{-l}) ((\alpha_1 \vec{e}_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-2} \vec{e}_{r-2}), D^k) \\ &= ((S^l (\vec{e}_1), \dots, S^l (\vec{e}_{r-2})), S^l D S^{-l}) ((\alpha_1 \vec{e}_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-2} \vec{e}_{r-2}), D^k) \\ &= (S^l (\vec{e}_1) + D^{r-k} (\alpha_1 \vec{e}_1), \dots, S^l (\vec{e}_{r-2}) + D^{r-k} (\alpha_{r-2} \vec{e}_{r-2}), I) \in W. \end{split}$$

Now, as S^l sends \vec{e}_{r-1} to \vec{e}_1 , \vec{e}_1 does not appear in any entry of w except the first one. Observe now, that the diagonals of D^0, \ldots, D^{r-2} , considered as column vectors of $V = \mathbb{F}_p^{r-1}$, form a basis for V as the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \xi & \cdots & \xi^{r-2} \\ 1 & \xi^2 & \cdots & \xi^{2(r-2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \xi^{r-1} & \cdots & \xi^{(r-1)(r-2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a Vandermonde matrix, and therefore invertible. Thus, there is a linear combination

$$C = \beta_0 D^0 + \ldots + \beta_{r-2} D^{r-2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_p.$$

Now, observe that D' acts on W by conjugation via the action of D on V. Thus, we obtain an action of C on W via its action on V, in which C(w) has $\vec{0}$ in every entry except the first one. This shows, as V is irreducible, that the first copy of V in W is inside the group generated by D' and S'. In a similar way, all the r-2 copies of V are generated by D' and S', so Γ is generated by two elements.

Now, $\Delta \times SL_{r-2}(p)$ acts on $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-2} V = V \otimes \mathbb{F}_p^{r-2}$ in an obvious way. Thus $\Gamma = W \rtimes \Delta$ is normal in $W \rtimes (\Delta \times SL_{r-2}(p))$, so $SL_{r-2}(p)$ is involved in $Aut(\Gamma)$, and so as $SL_n(p)$. \square

Let us remark that while we do not know the answer to the congruence subgroup problem for free solvable groups on two generators and solvability rank r (unless r = 1 or 2), the situation with free nilpotent groups on two generators is easier:

Proposition 5.6. For every free nilpotent group on two generators Γ , the congruence kernel contains a copy of \hat{F}_{ω} – the free profinite group on countable number of generators.

Proof. It is known that if $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a pro-nilpotent group, then the kernel of the map $Aut(\hat{\Gamma}) \to Aut(\hat{\Gamma}/\overline{\Gamma'})$ is pro-nilpotent (cf. [16], 5.3). Thus, if Γ is a free nilpotent group (of arbitrary class) then by similar arguments as we brought previously, there exists a finite group which is not involved in $Aut(\hat{\Gamma})$. On the other hand, if Γ is free nilpotent group on two generators, then $Aut(\Gamma)$ is large, as it can be mapped onto $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus, \hat{F}_2 is a subgroup of $\widehat{Aut(\Gamma)}$ and $C(\Gamma) \cap \hat{F}_2$ is non-trivial, hence contains a copy of \hat{F}_{ω} (cf. [17]). \square

Our last remark is about the CSP for subgroups of automorphism groups. Considering the classical congruence subgroup problem, one can take G to be a subgroup of $GL_n(R)$ where R is a commutative ring, and ask whether every finite index subgroup of G contains a subgroup of the form $\ker(G \to GL_n(R/I))$ for some finite index ideal $I \lhd R$. This direction of generalization of the classical CSP has been studied intensively during the second half of the 20th century (cf. [22,23]). One can ask for a parallel generalization for automorphism groups or outer atomorphism groups. I.e. let $G \subseteq Aut(\Gamma)$ (resp. $G \subseteq Out(\Gamma)$), does every finite index subgroup of G contain a principal congruence

² In general, the kernel of the map $Aut(\Gamma) \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ strictly contains $Inn(\Gamma)$ (cf. [10,1]).

subgroup of the form $\ker (G \to Aut (\Gamma/M))$ (resp. $\ker (G \to Out (\Gamma/M))$) for some finite index characteristic subgroup $M \le \Gamma$?

Now, let $\pi_{g,n}$ be the fundamental group of $S_{g,n}$, the surface of genus g with n punctures, such that $\chi(S_{g,n}) = 2 - 2g - n \le 0$. Then, there is an injective map of $PMod(S_{g,n})$, the pure mapping class group, into $Out(\pi_{g,n})$ (cf. [12], chapter 8). Thus, one can ask the CSP for $PMod(S_{g,n})$ as a subgroup of $Out(\pi_{g,n})$. Considering the above problem, it is known that:

Theorem 5.7. For g = 0, 1, 2 and every n > 0, $PMod(S_{g,n})$ has the CSP.

The cases for g=0 were proved by [11] and in [19], the cases for g=1 were proved by [2], and the cases for g=2 where proved by [7]. It can be shown that for every n>0, $\pi_{g,n}\cong F_{2g+n-1}=$ the free group on 2g+n-1 generators. Thus, the above cases give an affirmative answer for various subgroups of the outer aoutomorphism group of finitely generated free groups. Though, the CSP for the full $Out(F_d)$ where $d\geq 3$ is still unsettled.

Acknowledgments

The first author wishes to offer his deepest thanks to the Rudin foundation trustees for their generous support during the period of the research. This paper is part of his PhD's thesis at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. The second author wishes to acknowledge support by the ISF, the NSF, the ERC, Dr. Max Rossler, the Walter Haefner Foundation and the ETH Foundation.

References

- S. Andreadakis, On the automorphisms of free groups and free nilpotent groups, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 15 (1965) 239–268.
- [2] M. Asada, The faithfulness of the monodromy representations associated with certain families of algebraic curves, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 159 (2001) 123–147.
- [3] S. Bachmuth, Automorphisms of free metabelian groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1965) 93–104.
- [4] D.E-C. Ben-Ezra, The congruence subgroup problem for the free metabelian group on two generators, Groups Geom. Dyn. 10 (2016) 583–599.
- [5] D.E-C. Ben-Ezra, The congruence subgroup problem for the free metabelian group on $n \ge 4$ generators, arXiv:1701.02459.
- [6] J.S. Birman, Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups, Princeton University Press, University of Tokyo Press, Princeton, NJ, Tokyo, 1975.
- [7] M. Boggi, The congruence subgroup property for the hyperelliptic modular group: the open surface case, Hiroshima Math. J. 39 (2009) 351–362.
- [8] K-U. Bux, M.V. Ershov, A.S. Rapinchuk, The congruence subgroup property for $Aut(F_2)$: a group-theoretic proof of Asada's theorem, Groups Geom. Dyn. 5 (2011) 327–353.
- [9] S. Bachmuth, E. Formanek, H. Mochizuki, IA-automorphism of certain two-generator torsion-free groups, J. Algebra 40 (1976) 19–30.
- [10] R.M. Bryant, C.K. Gupta, Automorphism groups of free nilpotent groups, Arch. Math. (Basel) 52 (1989) 313–320.
- [11] S. Diaz, R. Donagi, D. Harbater, Every curve is a Hurwitz space, Duke Math. J. 59 (1989) 737–746.

- [12] B. Farb, D. Margalit, A Primer on Mapping Class Groups, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 49, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
- [13] F. Grunewald, A. Lubotzky, Linear representations of the automorphism group of a free group, Geom. Funct. Anal. 18 (2009) 1564–1608.
- [14] P.H. Kropholler, P.A. Linnell, J.A. Moody, Applications of a new K-theoretic theorem to soluble group rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988) 675–684.
- [15] A. Lubotzky, Free quotients and the congruence kernel of SL_2 , J. Algebra 77 (1982) 411–418.
- [16] A. Lubotzky, Combinatorial group theory for pro-p-groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 25 (1982)
- [17] A. Lubotzky, L. van den Dries, Subgroups of free profinite groups and large subfields of Q, Israel J. Math. 39 (1981) 25–45.
- [18] W. Magnus, On a theorem of Marshall Hall, Ann. of Math. 40 (1939) 764-768.
- [19] D.B. McReynolds, The congruence subgroup problem for pure braid groups: Thurston's proof, New York J. Math. 18 (2012) 925–942.
- [20] O.V. Mel'nikov, Congruence kernel of the group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 228 (1976) 1034–1036 (in Russian).
- [21] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, D. Solitar, Combinatorial Group Theory: Presentations of Groups in Terms of Generators and Relations, Interscience Publishers, New York-London-Sydney, 1966.
- [22] M.S. Raghunathan, The congruence subgroup problem, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 114 (2004) 299–308.
- [23] A.S. Rapinchuk, The congruence subgroup problem, in: Algebra, K-Theory, Groups, and Education, New York, 1997, pp. 175–188.
- [24] I. Reiner, Normal subgroups of the unimodular group, Illinois J. Math. 2 (1958) 142-144.
- [25] V.N. Remeslennikov, V.G. Sokolov, Some properties of a Magnus embedding. 2, Algebra Logika 9 (1970) 566–578 (in Russian); English translation: Algebra Logic 9 (1970) 342–349.
- [26] B.A.F. Wehrfritz, Infinite Linear Groups. An Account of the Group-Theoretic Properties of Infinite Groups of Matrices, Ergebnisse der Matematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 76, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.